Introduction

Climate change will have a large impact on all ecosystems of the earth. Especially forest ecosystems will be affected by the environmental changes. A very important issue in this context could be a possibly drastic restriction in the water supply of the trees and consequently a shift of forest communities. There are some indications that the frequency of extreme heat and drought periods over large parts of Europe will increase (IPCC 2001). The consequences of these changes for the water supply of the European forests are not known in detail. In Central Europe, where Norway spruce is often planted on unsuitable sites, spruce stands outside of its natural range could run into problems. In this study the differences in the effects of the drought 2003 on Norway spruce and birch, growing on heavy waterlogged soils were studied.

Study site and methods

The study site is located at 320 m a.s.l. near Fürstenfeld, a small town in the southeast of Austria. Mean annual temperature of this region is 8.8 °C, mean annual precipitation is 765 mm. The predominant soil type is a Stagnic Luvisol. The approximately 20 year old mixed stand mainly consists of birch (Betula pendula), Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst L.), German oak (Quercus robur) and trembling aspen (Populus tremula).

Soil hydrology parameters were recorded continuously in three different soil horizons in two birch and in two spruce eco-groups. For the characterization of the different transpiration regime the sap flow of 12 birch and 11 spruce trees was measured, using the heat field deformation method (figure 1).

Results

June 2003 was very hot (5°C warmer than normal), which caused an intensive water consumption of the trees. However, the high water demand was satisfied by the soil water reservoir and by the actual precipitation. Therefore sap flow of both tree species was not restricted.

In July 2003 average climatic conditions prevailed but in August 2003 extreme weather conditions returned. It was hotter than in June and very dry. For this reason practically all soil water reserves in the top soil were exhausted (figure 2). In the last week of August no change in soil water content occurred any more in the upper part of the soil until heavy rainfall terminated the very hot period.

Hence the trees had to restrict their transpiration. In figure 3 the averaged sap flow of birch and spruce trees in August 2003 is compared. It is clearly visible that the spruce trees reduced their sap flow much more than the birch trees.

The stronger reaction of the spruce trees to drought stress in comparison to the birch trees is also visible in the daily variation of sap flow. Noon depressions could be recognized in the sap flow of most Norway spruce trees, which got increasingly pronounced with the duration of the drought episode (figure 4). In contrast the sap flow of the birch trees hardly showed this phenomenon.

Conclusion

The drought in summer 2003 showed different effects on the sap flow of birch and spruce. As Norway spruce with its shallow rooting system uses mainly the soil water from the upper soil, these trees showed a stronger restriction in sap flow than birch. The reaction of birch in the daily pattern of sap flow was obviously less distinctive than that of spruce.

So the handicap of Norway spruce on ecological sensitive soils during such extreme weather conditions in comparison to a pioneer species like birch became clearly visible.
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